



Summary Proposal Review

Group 3: (Viet Nam, Philippines, Indonesia)

Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research

25–26 October 2012, Siem Reap, Kingdom of Cambodia





Section header



Group 1

Strength

- Selection of topic and title
- Selection of the target group
- Selection of methodology (Community-based)
- The results can serve directly for the development strategies

Weaknesses

- Methodology is still unstructured in the summary proposal and some methodology is not suitable
- The content of the training materials is not clear yet
- Scope of the study is unclear

Group 1

Suggestion for improving the proposal

- Selection of the target sites should be incorporated in the analysis and mentioned in the objectives
- Revision to the methodology and re-structure the work flow
- Reformulate the second objective to: To enhance the capacity of climate change adaptation in practices
- Clarify the content of the training materials in the proposal (e.g Risk/vulnerability assessment and adaptation measures, etc)

Group 1

Suggestion for improving the proposal

- Revision to the content of the study whether to cover all aspects of agriculture, not only specifically on impacts of flood/drought on yields.
- The regression model in the methodology could not reflect the consequences of the extreme events, we suggest to look for a better tool
- Clarification on methodology for mapping agricultural drought/flood whether it would be a risk map or vulnerability map

Group 2

Strength

- ❑ Selection of topic and title
- ❑ Good combination of different expertise of the group members is reflected in the activities

Weaknesses

- ❑ Sustainability of the activities and future implementation
- ❑ Lack of the CBA in the analysis
- ❑ Unclear study sites selection to meet the requirement of the proposed topic
- ❑ Lack of involvement of the policy makers in the activities while the objectives state that this project will contribute for policy improvement

Group 2

Suggestion for improving the proposal

- Revision to the methodology: add the CBA to justify the sustainability of the project
- Revision to the methodology: clarify the procedure and study sites selection
- The last activities in the proposal has no relevance in this particular project

Group 5

Strength

- Selection of topic and title is highly relevant with APN goals

Weaknesses

- High chance of duplication to this topic
- Climate projection methodology
- Selection of the study sites

Group 5

Suggestion for improving the proposal

- Outcome No.1: How?
- Activities No.6: Consider that if your project can produce the sustainable water management resources strategies,

Scoring

- Group 1: 7 (Best proposal)
- Group 2: 5
- Group 5: 6

Thank you...
response and clarification are welcome