



Proposal Review from Group 2: (Indonesia, Lao PDR, Cambodia)

Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research
25–26 October 2012, Siem Reap, Kingdom of Cambodia



Review to:
Groups 1, 3, and 4



Group 1

Climate Change Adaptation-Impacts, Vulnerability and Risk Assessments



Group 1

CRITERIA

- Is the proposed study on global change? • Yes
- Interest to the APN? • Yes
- Collaboration between min 3 countries? • Yes
- Relate to APN's agenda and policy relevant? • Yes
- Duplicate past or current efforts? • Should be improved
- Scientifically sound? • No
- Present value for money? And feasible? • No

Group 1

Strength

- Relevant to APN's agenda, goals, and policy processes, excluding its weaknesses

Rating scale:

6

Weaknesses

- Too vague to be meaningful in term of scientific research
- Scope of work and activities are too big
- The budget is relatively low for such a kind of this work
- Duration is too short
- This has been executed by Oxfam, USAID, etc. in Cambodia.



Group 3

A Comparative Study on potential combination of GHG emission reduction and climate change adaptation measures; a case study of urban areas in 3 SEA countries



Group 3

CRITERIA

- Is the proposed study on global change?
 - Yes
- Interest to the APN?
 - No
- Collaboration between min 3 countries?
 - Yes
- Relate to APN's agenda and policy relevant?
 - Yes
- Duplicate past or current efforts?
 - Should be improved
- Scientifically sound?
 - No
- Present value for money? And feasible?
 - No

Group 3

Strength

- Relevant to APN's agenda, goals, and policy processes, excluding its weaknesses

Weaknesses

- No focus on enough adaptation effort
- No clear methodology
- Fund allocated for expert meeting is not acceptable under APN's policy
- The budget is questionable
- Relatively low for such a work scope

Rating scale:

5



Group 4

Assessment of Socio-Economic Vulnerability and Adaptation for Small Islands' Tourism Over the South East Asia Region



Group 4

CRITERIA

- Is the proposed study on global change? • Yes
- Interest to the APN? • Yes
- Collaboration between min 3 countries? • Yes
- Relate to APN's agenda and policy relevant? • Yes
- Duplicate past or current efforts? • No
- Scientifically sound? • Should be improved
- Present value for money? And feasible? • Yes

Group 4

Strength

- Relevant to APN's agenda, goals, and policy processes, excluding its weaknesses
- Focus
- Interesting idea

Weaknesses

- The budget is questionable
- Relatively high for such a work scope

Rating scale:

7

Thank you