



Review of proposal groups: 2, 4 & 5

Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research

25–26 October 2012, Siem Reap, Kingdom of Cambodia



CRITERIA

- 1) Is the proposed study on global change?
- 2) Is the proposed project activity or activities of interest to the APN?
- 3) Is there strong regional collaboration and does the proposal really have a minimum collaboration of 3 APN member/approved countries?
- 4) Does the proposed project relate to APN's Science Agenda themes and could the outcomes be policy-relevant?
- 5) Does the proposal duplicate past or current efforts? Please provide comments.
- 6) Is the proposal scientifically sound?
- 7) Does the proposal present "value for money" and is the requested amount feasible given the budget of the APN?

1. General Strength and Weaknesses of the Proposals

Column	Group 2
1 .Title of proposed project	Did not state where the project taking place.
3. Amount requested from the APN	Not enough fund for implementation.
5. Provide a concise abstract	Not clear in explanation
6. Project relevant to the APN Goals, Science Agenda and to Policy Processes	should be improve for local community and don't raise the awareness of climate change
7. Activities to be conducted and state why you believe these activities are necessary	Activities just only study not training for adaptation.
8. Approved countries involved	Not provide the contact or information of each municipal .
9. Project methodologies	Too many sectors of identification and kind of sampling for waste analysis
11. Sustainable activities	should be as the information or data for solid waste management

1. General Strength and Weaknesses of the Proposals (cont.)

Column	Group 4
1 .Title of proposed project	Good
3. Amount requested from the APN	Applicable
5. Provide a concise abstract	Clear enough
6. Project relevant to the APN Goals, Science Agenda and to Policy Processes	Relevant
7. Activities to be conducted and state why you believe these activities are necessary	Less of activities
8. Approved countries involved	Meet the requirement
9. Project methodologies	Not enough data to support for decision
11. Sustainable activities	Improperly side selected

1. General Strength and Weaknesses of the Proposals

Column	Group 5
1 .Title of proposed project	Sounds good
3. Amount requested from the APN	Applicable
5. Provide a concise abstract	Clear vision
6. Project relevant to the APN Goals, Science Agenda and to Policy Processes	Relevant
7. Activities to be conducted and state why you believe these activities are necessary	Enough activities
8. Approved countries involved	Meet the requirement
9. Project methodologies	good
11. Sustainable activities	applicable

2. General Suggestions for Improving the Proposals

- Group 2: Should explain clearly of the purpose whether it is a research or capacity building in order to comply with the APN's goals and themes' requirements.
- Group 4: What the project want to analysis? For example: polluted environment or coral degradation and so on.?
- Group 5: Keep constant as the guideline.

3. Score (1-10) for Group 2- Proposal

- Group 2: 5pts
- Group 4: 6pts
- Group 5: 8pts

4. Selection of the best Proposal among the 2, 4 and 5 and Why?

Group 5, Because of they have clear vision and ready to do it.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH !