



Overview of the Review Process


Dr. Linda Anne Stevenson

Asia-Pacific Network for Global Change Research

25–26 October 2012, Siem Reap, Kingdom of Cambodia



Review of summary proposals



RELATED TO GC and CLIMATE ADAPTATION?




FOUR
APN
GOALS

**Related to the APN
goals identified in
the 3rd strategic
plan?**

GOVTS


RELATED TO ONE OF THE FOUR APN SCIENCE THEMES?

- 1. Climate Change & Variability**
- 2. Ecosystems, Biodiversity & Land-Use**
- 3. Changes in Atmospheric, Terrestrial, Marine Domains**
- 4. Resources Utilisation & Pathways for Sustainable Development**



- **STRONG
Regional
collaboration?**


- **AT LEAST 3 COUNTRIES?**



- **CO-FUNDING/IN-KIND
CONTRIBUTIONS?**
- **REASONABLE BUDGET?**

Will the outcomes be **RELEVANT FOR THE APN REGION?**

1. Are decision-makers involved?
2. Will the outcomes be useful for end-users?
3. Does the project adopt interdisciplinary approaches?
4. Does the proposal represent value for money?
5. Is the project realistic in terms of timescale and work to be done?



How to rate your proposals (be critical)

9-10: Excellent

- Significantly contributes to APN goals

7-8: Very Good

- Fundamentally sound but needs some modification

5-6: Good

- Could be sound but requires important modifications

3-4: Fair

- Not yet sound and does not meet most of the criteria

1-2: Poor

- Has fundamental flaws and need not be reconsidered



How should you present your reviews?

- ✧ General Strength and Weaknesses of the Proposals
- ✧ General Suggestions for Improving the Proposals
- ✧ Score (1-10) for each Proposal
- ✧ Selection of the Best Proposal and Why.

THANK YOU

Questions or Comments?



Section header

